

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/378,678	MCCARTY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robert M. Pond	3625	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert M. Pond.

(2) Mr. Jonathan Jobe, #28,429.

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 July 2007

**Time:** 3:15 PM EDT

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 13*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 13, "designate" changed to "designated"

Please note: subsequent to the interview, it was discovered claim 12 had a grammatical problem as noted in the Examiner's Amendment..